## The guidelines for the preparation of the joint report of the experts for study programme licensing

The guidelines for the preparation of the joint report of the experts for study programme licensing (hereinafter – the guidelines) are developed in accordance with Subparagraph 2.3 of Cabinet Regulation No. 408 of 14 July 2015 "Regulations Regarding Licensing of Study Programmes" and are subject to the requirements set forth in these regulations.

The purpose of the guidelines is to provide the experts with a structured framework to prepare the joint report about licensing of the study programme. The experts shall prepare the joint report within the study programme's licensing process.

### The joint report shall be prepared by the experts:

- in accordance with the sequence set forth in the guidelines, justifying the made statements and providing references and examples;
- in accordance with the literary and grammar rules of the official language, legal and academic terminology;
- providing recommendations for the elimination of the deficiencies found (in a short-term period) and for the improvement of the study programme (in a long-term period).

Preparing the joint report, the experts shall assess all assessment criteria specified in the guidelines. Each assessment criterion is followed by aspects (in italics) which are to be taken into account when assessing the relevant criterion. At the discretion of the experts, they also may assess other aspects which are significant for the assessment of the relevant criterion. Assessing each criterion, the experts shall analyse the available information, giving examples and reference to the information specified in the application of the higher education institution<sup>1</sup> (hereinafter – HEI) and in the description of the study programme, as well as the information obtained during the visit.

The experts shall analyse each criterion and make conclusions, specifying strengths and weaknesses of the HEI with regard to the compliance with the assessment criterion in the study programme.

Assessing the compliance of the study programme with the provisions of regulatory enactments, the experts shall evaluate each criterion as follows: "compliance", "non-compliance" or "partial compliance", and shall provide fact-based justification.

Preparing their joint report about the study programme, the experts shall agree on the evaluation of the criteria. If the experts' opinion regarding any of the criteria differs, the different opinion shall be specified in the joint report.

In the end of the joint report, the experts shall provide a summary and conclusions regarding the study programme, which shall take into account both the assessment of the study content (Part I - V) and compliance assessment (Part VI).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The definition "higher education institution" used herein is applicable to all higher education and science institutions mentioned in the Law on Institutions of Higher Education which implement academic and professional study programmes, as well as deal with science, research activities and artistic creation (universities, higher education institutions, academies, and institutes).

In the part with recommendations, the experts shall provide justification for the recommendation about licensing of the study programme (to license or not license the study programme), as well as short-term recommendations for the elimination of the deficiencies detected, and long-term recommendations for further improvement of the study programme.

The joint report of the experts shall be prepared electronically and shall be submitted in an electronic and paper format. The report submitted in a paper format shall be drawn up in duplicate and signed.

After licensing is completed, the joint report of the experts shall be published in the Register of Study Directions.

#### The structure of the joint report of the experts

Information about the experts

Information about the study programme

- I. Justification of the creation of the study programme and compliance with the study direction and the strategy of the HEI
- II. Management of the study programme
- III. Resources and provision of the study programme
- IV. Content and implementation mechanism of the study programme
- V. Employment opportunities of the graduates
- VI. Compliance of the study programme with the provisions of regulatory enactments
- VII. Assessment
- VIII. Recommendations

Expert's different opinion (if applicable)

# Joint report of the experts for licensing of the study programme within the study direction

### STUDY PROGRAMME

(name)

Name	of	the	higher	· education	institution
1 tuille	O1	uic	11151101	Caacanon	montation

Th	e experts recommend to license / not to license the study programme.
Ex	perts:
1.	Expert, degree / professional qualification, name, surname (signature)
2.	Expert delegated by the Employers' Confederation of Latvia, name, surname (signature)
3.	Expert delegated by the Student Union of Latvia, name, surname (signature)

Date (dd/mm/yyyy)

## Information about the experts

Name	ame Surname		
Workplace Position			
Degree / professional qu			
Assessing the study prog	Signature		
HAVE a conflict of inter	rest.		

Expert delegated by the Employers' Confederation of Latvia						
Name	Name Surname					
Workplace		Position				
Degree / professional qualification						
Assessing the study prog	Signature					
HAVE a conflict of inter						

Expert delegated by the Student Union of Latvia						
Name Surname						
	Assessing the study programme, I do hereby confirm that I HAVE/DO NOT Signature HAVE a conflict of interest.					

Visit to the HEI	Date
The joint report prepared according to the	Full reference to a document, database, other source
observations made during the visit and the	and place in it. If the document is not publicly
following sources	available, it shall be added to the annex, indicating
	consecutive number for each document (external
	regulatory enactments, additional information
	requested by the experts)

## Information about the study programme

1.	Name of the HEI	
2.	Name of the study direction	
3.	Study programmes in the study direction	
4.	Name of the study programme	
5.	Education classification code of the	
	study programme	
6.	Language of the implementation of the	
	study programme	
7.	Type and form of the implementation of	
	the study programme (also for distance	
	learning)	
8.	Place of the implementation of the study	
	programme	
9.	Degree to be acquired or professional	
	qualification, or degree to be acquired	
	and professional qualification (code in	
	the classification of occupations)	

# I. Justification of creation of the study programme and compliance with the study direction and strategy of the higher education institution

Assessing the criterion, please analyse the following aspects:

- 1. Justification of creation of the study programme and its development process;
- 2. Compliance of the study programme with the study direction of the HEI and strategy of the HEI;
- 3. Compliance of the study programme with the trends of the relevant industry in the countries of the European Union and in the world;
- 4. Development prospect of the study programme.

Conclusions, specifying strengths and weaknesses

4. Development prospect of the study programme.
Analysis
Conclusions, specifying strengths and weaknesses
II. Management of the study programme
Assessing the criterion, please analyse the following aspects:
<ol> <li>Effectiveness of the management of the study programme</li> <li>Description of the public, employers, employers' organisations and other organisations of the relevant industry involvement in the process of creation and further improvement of the study programme.</li> </ol>
3. Description of students' involvement in the process of creation and further improvement of the study programme (including the work planned by the HEI about the use of the results of students' survey).
2. Compliance with the 1st part of the Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance in the
European Higher Education Area (ESG).
Analysis

### III. Resources and provision of the study programme

Resources and provision planned for the implementation of the study programme (including branches and distance learning, if applicable) are suitable for the implementation of a high-quality study process that complies with the current trends in the relevant industry.

Assessing the criterion, please analyse the following aspects:

- 1. Financial provision;
- 2. Teaching staff<sup>2</sup>;
- 3. Support provided by the involved structural units and necessary auxiliary teaching staff;
- 4. Infrastructure and material and technical provision;
- 5. Informational support;
- 6. Methodological support.

is

Conclusions, specifying strengths and weaknesses

# IV. Content and implementation mechanism of the study programme

The content of the study courses and curriculum of the study programme complies with the requirements of the relevant industry and current activities. The mechanism provided for the implementation of the study programme (also for distance learning, if applicable) complies with the set aims of the study programme and study results to be achieved, as well as with the student-centered education principles. Scientific activities, research and/or artistic creation of the teaching staff and students are related with the aim of the study programme and study results to be achieved, as well as with the content of the study courses.

Assessing the criterion, please analyse the following aspects:

- 1. Content of the study programme;
- 2. Provision of traineeship for students;
- 3. Mechanism for the implementation of the study programme;
- 4. Scientific activities, research and/or artistic creation.

		- 1		•
Α	n	a	lvs	18

Conclusions, specifying strengths and weaknesses

## V. Employment opportunities of the graduates

Employment opportunities of the graduates are based on the labour market demands which is confirmed by the employers of the relevant industry; and they are in compliance with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The definition "teaching staff" used herein is applicable to the academic staff of the relevant higher education institution and its visiting professors, visiting associate professors, visiting docents, visiting lecturers and visiting assistants.

the medium- and long-term development trends of the labour market and with skills and competencies to be required in the future.

Assessing the criterion, please analyse the following aspects:

- 1. Compliance of the employment opportunities of the graduates with the medium- and long-term demand of the labour market and the relevant industry;
- 2. Compliance of the skills and competencies acquired by the graduates with the medium- and long-term development trends of the labour market and the relevant industry.

Analysis		

Conclusions, specifying strengths and weaknesses

# VI. Compliance of the study programme with the provisions of regulatory enactments

No.	Criterion	Complianc	е	Non-	compliance	Partial	compliance	Justification
1.	If an academic study programme is provided for less than 250 full-time students, a relevant opinion of the Council of Higher Education has been received in accordance with Paragraph 2, Section 55 of the Law on Institutions of Higher Education							
2.	Documents proving that the HEI will ensure an option for students of the relevant study programme to continue the acquisition of education within other study programme or in other HEI in case of termination of the study programme (financial justification or contract with another accredited HEI)							
3.	Qualification of the teaching staff complies with the conditions and requirements of the implementation of the study programme which are specified in the regulatory enactments that regulate education,							

	also ensuring that at least five	
	professors and associated	
	professors together, who are	
	elected to the academic positions	
	by the relevant HEI, take part in the	
	implementation of the academic	
	study programme, except for the	
	cases mentioned in Paragraph 2,	
	Section 55 of the Law on	
	Institutions of Higher Education	
4.	Each member of the academic staff	
''	has either publications published in	
	reviewed editions within the last	
	six years, including international	
	_	
	editions (if they have worked for a	
	shorter period of time, the number	
	of publications shall be in	
	proportion to the work period), or	
	artistic creation achievements (for	
	instance, exhibitions, films, theatre	
	performances and concert activity),	
	or a five-year work experience	
	(except for the experience in the	
	implementation of the study	
	programme) in accordance with the	
	Law on Institutions of Higher	
	Education	
5.	The language skills of the teaching	
	staff comply with at least the B2	
	level according to the European	
	language levels (specified levels	
	are available on the website	
	www.europass.lv), if it is intended	
	to implement the study programme	
	or a part thereof in a foreign	
	language	
6.	The study programme complies	
	with the national standard of	
	academic education or the standard	
	of professional higher education	
7.	If professional qualification is	
	acquired after the completion of the	
	study programme, the programme	
	complies with the occupational	
	standard and the occupational	
	standard of the respective	
	professional qualification is valid	
9.	The descriptions of study courses	
	contain all required information,	
	and the descriptions of study	
	courses and study materials have	
<u></u>	courses and study materials have	

	been prepared in all languages, in which the study programme is		
	implemented		
10.	The sample of the study contract		
	complies with the mandatory		
	provisions to be included in the		
	study contract		
11.	The sample of the diploma and its		
	annex to be issued upon the		
	completion of the study programme		
	complies with the procedure		
	according to which state-		
	recognised documents of higher		
	education are issued		

Fill in if a doctoral study programme is being assessed:

No.	Criterion	Compliance	Non- compliance	Partial compliance	Justification
1.	At least five persons with a doctoral degree in the branch of science related or relevant to a doctoral study programme are involved in the implementation of the study programme who have been elected to the academic positions in the relevant HEI and at least three of which are experts approved by the Latvian Council of Science in the relevant field of science				
2.	The scientific and pedagogical qualification of the persons with a doctoral degree comply with the criteria set forth in the regulatory enactments regarding the assessment of the scientific and pedagogical qualification of an applicant for the position of a professor and associate professor				

Fill in if a joint study programme is being assessed:

No.	Criteria	Compliance	Non- compliance	Partial compliance	Justification
1.	The HEI together with a partner institution/-s have entered into a written agreement about the implementation of the joint study				

	programme				
2.	The study programme shall be				
۷.	formed by the parts of study				
	programmes of the same level of				
	higher education implemented by the				
	HEI and partner institutions				
3.	The HEI and partner institutions				
٥.	involved in the implementation of the				
	joint study programme shall each				
	implement at least one tenth of the				
	entire relevant joint study programme				
4.	Unified requirements with regard to				
4.	the implementation of the joint study				
	programme, the final examinations,				
	granting of degrees to be acquired in studies and professional				
	qualifications. The parts of the joint				
	study programme together form a				
	unified content and consecutive joint				
	study programme				
5.	The HEI and partner institutions have				
J.	jointly developed a quality assurance				
	system for the joint study programme				
6.	Mobility of students is ensured,				
0.	which also allows the acquisition of a				
	commensurate and essential part of				
	the joint study programme in one or				
	several partner institutions				
7.	Mobility of the academic staff is				
/.	ensured in order that they might also				
	teach at least in one partner				
	institution				
8.	A degree or professional				
0.	qualification to be granted as a result				
	of the acquisition of the joint study				
	programme is specified, complying				
	with the system of degrees or				
	professional qualification to be				
	acquired in studies, as it is specified				
	in the regulatory enactments of				
	Latvia				
9.	The content of the diploma, as well				
'.	as of the annex appended to the				
	diploma to be issued jointly by the				
	HEI and partner institutions is				
	specified, and this content complies				
	with Cabinet Regulation "Procedure				
	According to Which State-				
	Recognised Documents of Higher				
	Education are Issued"				
10.	Confirmation that the partner				
	institution is recognized in the				
	relevant foreign country (applicable				
	if the study programme is				
	implemented together with a foreign				
	institution)				
L	/	·	1	1	<u> </u>

### VII. Assessment

Summary and conclusions about the study programme, taking into account the assessment of both the study content (Part I-V) and compliance (Part VI)

### VIII. Recommendations

Justification of the recommendation to license or not to license the study programme.

Recommendations for improvement of the study programme or elimination of the deficiencies (in the short-term and long-term period).

If the opinion of experts regarding any assessment criterion differs, please specify the different opinion.

Expert's name, surname, the different opinion, specifying assessment criterion and providing justification